

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 09/751,341
 12/29/2000
 Mark J. Hampden-Smith
 41890-01280
 7916

 7590
 03/15/2004
 EXAMINER

 MARSH FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP
 KOSLOW, CAROL M

 Suite 411

MARSH FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLF Suite 411 3151 S. Vaughn Way Aurora, CO 80014

1755
DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- · •		Application No.	Applicant(s)	*	
Advisory Action		09/751,341	HAMPDEN-SMITH ET	ΓAL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		C. Melissa Koslow	1755		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any starned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3.⊠	B. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
	Claim(s) allowed: 80-84,86-88,90-98,100-106,108-11	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed: <u>80-84,86-88,90-98,100-106,108-113,116-120,122-128,131-133 and 135-142</u> .			
	Claim(s) objected to:				
	Claim(s) rejected:				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8.	☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
0.🖂	Other: attached is a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendm	<u>nent</u>	1		
			A		
			C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755		

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 309/75 r,341

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the substitute pages cannot be entered as a amendment since they are non-compliant. They cannot be entered as part of the original specification for the reasons set forth in the Final Office action. As stated in the action, applicants' did not comply with the requirements necessary to have these pages considered as part of the originally filed specification given in the decision to the petition dated 7/18/01.

The requirement to renumber the claim pages is withdrawn because the new requirement of 37 CFR 1.121 makes the renumbering of th original claim pages moot.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the obviousness-type double patenting rejections since the Terminal Disclaimers are acceptable.